

Proposal Title :		Reclassification and rezoning part of Lot 20 DP219584 from community to operational land and from RE1 Public Recreation to R1 General Residential to permit access to developments in McIure Circuit. Jindabyne			
Proposal Summar	y: Planning proposal seeks to Jindabyne from Community the R1 General Residential	Planning proposal seeks to reclassify and rezone part of Lot 20 DP219584, Kosciuszko Road Jindabyne from Community to Operational land, and from the RE1 Public Recreation Zone to the R1 General Residential Zone in the Snowy River Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2013. This will permit access to developments in the adjacent Mclure Circuit, Jindabyne.			
PP Number :	PP_2016_SMONA_001_00	Dop File No :	16/10076		
Proposal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Received	27-Jul-2016	LGA covered :	Snowy Monaro Regional		
Region :	Southern	RPA :	Snowy Monaro Regional Council		
State Electorate :	MONARO	Section of the Act	55 - Planning Proposal		
LEP Type 🗄	Reclassification				
Location Details					
Street :	Kosciuszko Road				
Suburb :	Jindabyne City	:	Postcode : 2627		
Land Parcel :	Part Lot 20 DP219584				
DoP Planning O	fficer Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Nathan Foster				
Contact Number :	0242249459				
Contact Email :	nathan.foster@planning.nsw	.gov.au			
RPA Contact De	tails				
Contact Name :	Rochelle Crowe				
Contact Number :	0264511564				
Contact Email :	Rochelle.Crowe@snowymon	aro.nsw.gov.au			
DoP Project Mar	nager Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Deanne Frankel				
Contact Number :	0242249468				
Contact Email :	deanne.frankel@planning.ns	w.gov.au			

Land Release Data

Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy	
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of	Yes		

If No, comment :

Conduct has been complied with :

Have there been No meetings or communications with registered lobbyists?

If Yes, comment :

Supporting notes

Internal Supporting Notes :

External Supporting Notes :

Adequacy Assessment

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? No

Comment :

The eastern portion of Lot 20 DP 219584, where land fronts Lots 22 DP 219584 and SP 14686 (3 & 5 Mclure Circuit) Jindabyne will be reclassified as Operational Land and be re-zoned from RE1 Public Recreation to R1 General Residential. The proposal seeks to formalise access to developments in Mclure Circuit, Jindabyne.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment : The eastern portion of Lot 20 DP 219584 will be included in Part 1 of Schedule 4 (Land classified, or reclassified as operational land - no interests changed)of the Snowy River Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2013 which will make the land Operational Land. The land zoning map sheet LZN_003AA will also be amended to reflect a zoning change from RE1 Public Recreation to R1 General Residential in line with the two adjacent lots.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)	
a) Has Council's strategy	been agreed to by the D	Director General? No
b) S.117 directions identifi	ied by RPA :	3.1 Residential Zones
* May need the Director G	General's agreement	3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions
Is the Director General	's agreement required?	No
c) Consistent with Standa	rd Instrument (LEPs) Or	rder 2006 : Yes
d) Which SEPPs have the	PPA identified?	SEPP No 44—Koala Habitat Protection SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SEPP (Kosciuszko National Park—Alpine Resorts) 2007
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :		
Have inconsistencies with	items a), b) and d) bein	g adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain 🗄	Council has identifi applicable to the pla	ed four State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPP) that are anning proposal;
	SEPP (Kosciuszko SEPP 44 Koala Hab SEPP55 Remediatio	
	SEPP (Infrastructur	e) 2007
	Council is satisfied	that the planning proposal is consistent with each of these SEPP's.
	planning proposal a 3.1 Residential Zone	ed a number of s.117 Ministerial Directions that are relevant to this and have provided the following assessments against each; es - The proposal will facilitate the opportunity of shop top housing atment by providing direct access from Kosciuszko Road to the atoms
	3.2 Caravan Parks a adjacent to a carava planning proposal i Jindabyne Holiday	and Manufactured Home Estates - Although the subject site is an park, the eastern portion of the site, which is the subject of the s not located adjacent and therefore, it will have no impact on the Park, located to the north west.
	6.2 Reserving Land does not seek to ac allotment of public the land. The land v	eferral Requirements - Consistent for Public Purposes - Generally consistent. The planning proposal quire additional public land. It seeks to re-classify part of an land as Operational land to facilitate continued vehicle access over vill also be rezoned from RE1 Public Recreation to R1 General
	site subject to resolution that the site is not v network, the conver minor nature.	tate the approved shop top housing development on the adjoining lution of access issues. The rezoning is considered minor and given valuable in terms of contributing to the open space and recreational rsion of this part lot is not significant and any inconsistency is of a
	planning controls The Department do	ovisions - The proposal does not contain any restrictive site specific es not disagree with Council's assessments, and agrees that no other r SEPPs are relevant to this planing proposal.

Is mapping provided? Y	es
Comment :	The mapping provided by Council as part of the planning proposal is considered sufficient for the purpose of public/agency consultation. LEP standard mapping will need to be provided by Council prior to finalisation of the plan.
Community consulta	ation - s55(2)(e)
Has community consulta	ation been proposed? Yes
Comment :	Council has advised that consultation in relation to the planning proposal will be in accordance with the Gateway determination, and have noted that a public hearing will be required as it involves the re-classification of Community land.
Additional Director (General's requirements
Are there any additional	Director General's requirements? No
If Yes, reasons :	Nil
Overall adequacy of	the proposal
Does the proposal meet	the adequacy criteria? Yes
If No, comment :	It is considered that the Planning proposal is adequate to proceed.
oposal Assessment	
Principal LEP:	
Due Date :	
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	The Snowy River LEP was notified in January 2013.
Assessment Criteria	
Need for planning proposal :	A planning proposal is the only means of achieving the intended outcome to reclassify a rezone part of Lot 20 DP 219584.

Consistency with strategic planning framework :	Council has advised that the However, Council considers t Management plan for Commu proposal to be consistent with	nity Land (Sept 2004). Further	with the Snowy River Shire r, Council considers the
	undertaken by the former Sno disposal as it has minimal op	wy River Shire Council, which	h identified this part lot for
	Council has noted that the pro planning and that, at present, former Snowy River Shire are	there is no regional or sub-re	
		uncil believes this planning pr	ludes the Snowy Monaro LGA roposal will not be inconsistent
	Council considers the propos Strategic Plan, Jindabyne Act		vn strategic plans; Community th Strategy.
	Plan 2013-32 goal for 'strengt subject land to the approved s	hening our local economy' as shop top housing developmer	nowy River Community Strategi it will provide access over the nt on the adjacent lot which will retail shops, invigorating this
		y the former Snowy River Shi	re Council, and no interests are
	The Department does not disa	gree with Council's assessm	ent.
Environmental social economic impacts :	disturbed, comprising no nati Subsequently Council is of th	are Circuit properties for over ve vegetation and no habitat s e opinion that there will be no amunities. Further, Council ad	40 years and the site is mostly suitable for threatened species. Impact on threatened species livises that no critical habitat as
	Council does not anticipate any environmental effects from the proposals re-classification/rezoning of the part lot involved in this planning proposal.		
	The Department does not disa	gree with Councils assessme	ent.
ssessment Proces	5		
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months	Delegation :	DDG
Public Authority	Transport for NSW - Roads an	d Maritime Services	

Snowy Monaro Counci	il Planning		Proposal	Yes
Document File Name			DocumentType Name	Is Public
cuments				
If Yes, reasons :	Minor local matt	er only.		
Is the provision and fund			is plan? No	
No internal consultatio	on required			
Identify any internal con		l:		
No further studies are				
If Other, provide reason				
Identify any additional s	tudies, if required.:			
If Yes, reasons :	Re-submission is	not required.		
Resubmission - s56(2)(
If no, provide reasons :	The planning prop	oosal is supported	to proceed to public/agency consul	tation.
(2)(a) Should the matter		Yes		
is Fublic hearing by the	PAC required?	No		
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No		

Snowy Monaro Council Planning	Proposal	Yes
Proposal_Reclassification and Rezoning.pdf		
Snowy Monaro Planning Proposal Cover Letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Snowy Monaro Planning Proposal Map_Before.pdf	Мар	Yes
Snowy Monaro Planning Proposal Map_After.pdf	Мар	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	3.1 Residential Zones 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions
Additional Information :	I, the Acting Director, Southern Region at the Department of Planning and Environment as delegate of the Minister for Planning, have determined under section 56(2) of the EP&A Act that an amendment to the Snowy River Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2013 to reclassify and rezone land being part Lot 20 DP 219584, Kosciuszko Rd Jindabyne should proceed subject to the following conditions:
	1. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows:
	(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 28 days; and
	(b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning and Environment 2013).
	2. Consultation is required with Transport for NSW – Roads And Maritime under section

	 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act. Roads And Maritime is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal. 3. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).
	 The LEP maps shall be prepared in accordance with the Standard Technical Requirements for Spatial Datasets and Maps (Department of Planning and Environment, 2015).
	5. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the date of the Gateway determination.
Supporting Reasons	The planning proposal involves the reclassification and rezoning of a small piece of RE1 Public recreation zoned, Council owned land to become operational R1 General Residential zoned land, consistent with adjacent residential land to the north. The land has for many years, and is currently, used for informal access to the adjacent residential properties. The planning proposal seeks to formalise this access to sites on Mclure Circuit, sites subject to a Council approved commerical/shop top housing development. Council believes the land provides no public benefit or uses as recreational land and is considered to be excess to Councils needs. As per the Department's policy, Delegation to Council is not permitted as the proposal involves a reclassification of Council land, and the Council is a merged Council with the resolution made by the Administrator, rather than an elected Council.
Signatura	
Signature;	
Printed Name:	Jeanne Frankabate: 12/0/16